

REMARKS

This application has been reviewed in light of the Office Action dated June 1, 2006. Claims 30-68 are presented for examination, of which Claims 30, 36, 37, 43, 44, 50, 51, 57 and 63 are in independent form. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Applicant has requested a Suspension of Action of two months pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c).

The title has been amended for clarification.

In the June 1, 2006 Office Action, Claims 30-68 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious from United States Patent No. 6,445,460 (Pavley) in view of U.S. Patent 6,668,134 (Niikawa). In response to those rejections, Applicant hereby incorporates by reference arguments made in the Response After Final Action filed August 31, 2006.

On or around September 19, 2006, Applicant's attorney conducted a telephone interview with the Examiner regarding this case. Applicant's attorney argued that it was improper to combine the Pavley and Niikawa references and that, even in combination, those references fail to teach or suggest "a display unit, adapted to display a screen to enable a user to select between (1) transferring only image data stored in said storage unit which has not previously been transferred and (2) transferring all image data stored in said storage unit" or "a transfer control unit, adapted to perform control to transfer the image data, in response to an instruction to transfer entered by the user with said image data transfer instruction unit, and to judge a selection selected from the screen displayed by said display unit, and if the selection to transfer only image data not previously transferred is made, perform control to transfer only the image data not previously transferred based on transfer history information, and if the selection to transfer all

image data stored in the storage unit is made, perform control to transfer all the image data stored in said storage unit regardless of the transfer history information,” as recited in Claim 30. No agreement was reached. This constitutes a Statement of the Substance of the Interview.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jennifer A. Reda/
Jennifer A. Reda
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No.: 57,840

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

NY_MAIN 600553v1